

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary****Application No.**

09/817,199

**Applicant(s)**

SHAO ET AL.

**Examiner**

Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

**Art Unit**

1642

**All Participants:**(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.(2) Justin D. Karjala.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2004**Time:** 10:00 a.m.**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(e) rejection over US Patent No. 6,569,662

Claims discussed:

4, 8, 9, and 24-32

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6,569,662**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) \_\_\_\_\_

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner telephoned Mr. Karjala to explain that Applicant's arguments traversing the 102(e) rejection set forth in the Office action mailed May 11, 2004 had been carefully considered but not found persuasive, because the prior art teaches a nucleic acid molecule consisting of a nucleotide sequence, which inherently encodes a polypeptide consisting of SEQ ID NO: 2. The Examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to place this application in condition for allowance. Mr. Karjala authorized entry of the proposed examiner's amendment..